

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

* * *

TAMIKA LOCKETT,

Plaintiff,

v.

NAMASTE APARTMENTS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-01779-RFB-DJA

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 6) of the Honorable Daniel J. Albregts, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on January 27, 2025. A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by February 10, 2025. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendation.

///

///

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 6) is
2 **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** in full.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice.

4 The Clerk of Court is instructed to close this matter accordingly.

5
6
7 **DATED:** April 25, 2025.

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE